

No	Question to	Reference	Question	HPBC response
1.	The draft Development Consent Order (dDCO) and other consents			
	Reference is made to the dDCO submitted by the Applicant for Deadline 9 [REP9-004].			
1.2.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority	Requirements 3(3), 3(4), 3(5) and 3(6) Detailed design	The Applicant [REP9-004] has incorporated the ExA's [PD-016] suggested additions. Tameside Borough Council [REP9-037] had no comments. a) Please could Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council and Peak District National Park Authority comment? b) Do the local authorities or Peak District National Park Authority have any outstanding concerns regarding Requirement 3?	The additions are welcomed. HPBC has no further comments to make.

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
----	-------------	-----------	----------	--

1.9.	Applicant Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council High Peak Borough Council	Requirement 12 Carbon management	The Applicant [REP9-004] incorporated the ExA's [PD-016] suggested additions, with a minor amendment. Tameside Borough Council [REP9-037] had no comments on the ExA's additions. a) Please could the local authorities comment? b) Please could the Applicant consider whether the wording of 12(1) should read "... until for that part a Carbon Management Plan ..." ?	The additions are welcomed. HPBC has no further comments to make.
1.10.	Applicant Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council High Peak Borough Council	Use of section 61 consent <i>"Where the undertaker is acting further to Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 in relation to the construction of the authorised development the undertaker shall include particulars in any application pursuant to Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 to demonstrate that the works the subject of the application, including the method by which they are to be carried out and the steps proposed to be taken to minimise noise resulting from the works, would not give rise to any materially</i>	The Applicant [REP8-019 Question 7dd] suggested wording for an additional requirement to address the ExA's concerns [EV039]. a) Please could the Applicant, Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council and High Peak Borough Council seek to agree the wording and provide a coordinated response? b) Please could the Applicant add the agreed wording to the dDCO?	The applicant has suggested the following amendments which is acceptable to HPBC. <i>"Where the undertaker is acting further to Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 in relation to the construction of the authorised development the undertaker shall include particulars in any application pursuant to Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act 1974 to demonstrate that the works the subject of the application, including the method by which they are to be carried out and the steps proposed to be taken to minimise noise resulting from the works, would not give rise to any materially new or worse noise effects to those in comparison with those reported in the environmental statement". .</i>

		<i>new or worse noise effects to those in comparison with those reported in the environmental statement".</i>		
--	--	---	--	--

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
1.12.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, Peak District National Park Authority, the Environment Agency, and Natural England summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the dDCO?	<p>If the ExA is minded to recommend to the SoS that the scheme is approved without any further consideration of the implications for the AQMAs in High Peak, it may wish to consider the scope for an additional requirement.</p> <p>In such circumstances, a requirement could be applied to ensure appropriate monitoring of air quality within the AQMAs post-opening of the scheme is undertaken by the National Highways to validate the assumptions to screen out</p>

				these areas, on the basis they will not significantly impact on air quality in these areas. Should this assumption prove incorrect, National Highways should ensure that mitigation is agreed with HPBC and delivered by National Highways if necessary.
--	--	--	--	--

2. General matters				
2.3.	Applicant Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council	Remaining concerns	<p>Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions or in their signed Statements of Common Ground, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, Peak District National Park Authority, the Environment Agency, and Natural England summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Case for the Scheme [REP2-016] 	HPBC has no further comments to make.

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
----	-------------	-----------	----------	--

	High Peak Borough Council Environment Agency Natural England		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environmental Management Plan (First Iteration) [REP9008] • Register of Environmental Actions and Commitments [REP9-009] • Works Plans [REP9-002], Streets, Rights of Way and Access Plans [REP9-003], Scheme Layout Plans [REP8004], and Engineering Drawings and Section Plans [REP5-005] • compliance of the Proposed Development with relevant legislation and policy, including local policies • any other important and relevant matters, including in relation to the ExA's Initial Assessment of Principal Issues [PD-005] 	
3.		Transport networks and traffic, alternatives, access, severance, walkers, cyclists, and horse riders		

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
3.11.	Tameside Metropolitan	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council,	It is now understood that a pedestrian crossing point is to be provided by Derbyshire County Council across Dinting Road to support the expanded Glossopdale School site in Hadfield.

			Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough	National Highways has verbally stated that this would not have any real implications for the traffic modelling but we are unaware if this has been tested and quantified.
--	--	--	--	---

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
	Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority		Council, and Peak District National Park Authority and summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of transport networks, traffic, alternatives, access, severance, walkers, cyclists, or horse riders?	
4.	Peak District National Park			

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
----	-------------	-----------	----------	--

4.4.	Natural England Peak District National Park Authority Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Natural England, Peak District National Park Authority, Derbyshire County Council, and High Peak Borough Council summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of the Peak District National Park?	HPBC has no further comments to make.
5. Other landscape and visual, design, Green Belt				
5.1.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority	Landscape and visual Mitigation	The Applicant [REP9-027] has updated the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management and Monitoring Plan [REP8-014] and Design Approach Document [REP9-008 Annex C2] in response to concerns raised by the local authorities regarding planting. a) Do the local authorities and Peak District National Park Authority have any comments on the Applicant's updates? b) Do the local authorities or Peak District National Park Authority have any remaining concerns about the Outline Landscape and Ecological Management and Monitoring Plan?	HPBC has no further comments to make.
5.2.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	Design Mitigation	The Applicant [REP9-027] has updated the Design Approach Document [REP9-008 Annex C2] in response to concerns raised by High Peak Borough Council	HPBC welcomes the updates to reference the Design Guide SPD and Landscape Character SPD in the Design Approach Document.

			[REP8-025] regarding references to local policy.	
--	--	--	--	--

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
	Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council		a) Does High Peak Borough Council have any comments on the Applicant's updates? b) Do the local authorities or Peak District National Park Authority have any remaining concerns about the Design Approach Document?	
5.4.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, and Peak District National Park Authority summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of landscape, visual, design, or the Green Belt?	HPBC has no further comments to make.

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
6.	Other noise, vibration, and nuisance			

6.1.	Applicant High Peak Borough Council	Baseline noise levels in relation to 18 and 54 Wooley Bridge	<p>High Peak Borough Council [REP8-025 Question 7cc] raised concerns about enforceable commitments being required and suggested some wording for the Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan.</p> <p>The Applicant [REP9-027] responded, but did not suggest any changes to the Outline Noise and Vibration Management Plan.</p> <p>Please could the Applicant and High Peak Borough Council seek to agree on any necessary mitigation, and how it is secured, and each provide a coordinated response?</p>	<p>The applicant has suggested the following amendments which are acceptable to HPBC.</p> <p>2.6.1. Noise monitoring during the construction phase will take the form of either unattended long-term noise monitoring, or short-term attended noise monitoring. The decision to use either type of monitoring will be based on the nature and location of the works being undertaken, and subject to discussion and agreement with the Local Planning Authority.</p> <p>2.6.2 The construction noise threshold levels for significant effects will take into account the baseline conditions experienced in absence of construction works as reported in Chapter 11 of the Environmental Statement. Where additional baseline data are required, such as Woolley Bridge area, the additional baseline monitoring location(s) and measurement methodology will be discussed and agreed with the relevant local authority.</p>
6.2.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority Environment	Remaining concerns	<p>Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, Peak District National Park Authority, and the Environment Agency summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of noise, vibration, common law nuisance or statutory nuisance?</p>	<p>HPBC has no further comments to make.</p>

	Agency			
7.	Air quality			
7.1.	High Peak Borough Council	Remaining concerns	a) Please could High Peak Borough Council provide an update on the matters that it has noted [REP8-025] as not being resolved, including with respect to:	See attached annex.

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
----	-------------	-----------	----------	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • speed band emission rates used in the air quality assessment • routing of traffic in Glossop from the A57 onto Shaw Lane and Dinting Road • the inclusion of Air Quality Management Areas in the air quality study area • human health receptors on the A57 in Brookfield <p>b) What are the likely implications for the adequacy of the Applicant's assessment and for their identification of significant effects?</p> <p>c) Should further mitigation be provided?</p>	
7.2.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, High Peak Borough Council, and Peak District National Park Authority summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of air quality?	HPBC has no further comments to make.
8. Climate change				

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
----	-------------	-----------	----------	--

8.2.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, and Peak District National Park Authority summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of climate change?	HPBC has no further comments to make.
9. The historic environment				

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
9.4.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, and Peak District National Park Authority summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of the historic environment?	We await the applicant's response to the ExA's third written questions 9.2 a and b. Subject to the above, no outstanding issues.

No	Question to	Reference	Question

10.	Soils, ground conditions, material assets and waste
------------	--

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
----	-------------	-----------	----------	--

10.5.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority Environment Agency	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, Peak District National Park Authority, and the Environment Agency summarise any remaining concerns that they have has about the Applicant's consideration of soils, ground conditions, material assets or waste?	HPBC has no further comments to make.

No	Question to	Reference	Question
11.	The water environment, drainage, flood risk assessment, Water Frameworks Directive		

No	Question to	Reference	Question
----	-------------	-----------	----------

11.8.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Environment Agency	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, and the Environment Agency summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of the water environment, drainage, flood risk assessment, or the Water Frameworks Directive?	HPBC has no further comments to make.
12. Biodiversity, ecological and geological conservation, Habitat Regulation Assessment				

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
12.9.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, Peak District National Park Authority, Natural England, and the Environment Agency summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of	HPBC has no further comments to make.

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
----	-------------	-----------	----------	--

	High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority Natural England Environment Agency		biodiversity, ecological and geological conservation, or the Habitat Regulation Assessment?	
13.	Land use, social and economic, human health			
13.1.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, and Peak District National Park Authority summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of land use, social and economic, or human health?	HPBC has no further comments to make.
14.	Other environmental topics			

14.1.	Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council	Remaining concerns	Apart from the issues covered elsewhere in these third written questions, please could Tameside Metropolitan Borough Council, Derbyshire County Council, High Peak Borough Council, Peak District National Park Authority, the Environment Agency, Natural England, and Statutory Undertakers	HPBC has no further comments to make.
-------	---------------------------------------	--------------------	---	---------------------------------------

No	Question to	Reference	Question	
	Derbyshire County Council High Peak Borough Council Peak District National Park Authority Environment Agency Natural England Statutory Undertakers		summarise any remaining concerns that they have about the Applicant's consideration of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • the utility infrastructure • transboundary effects • security • major accidents and disasters • civil and military aviation and defence • decommissioning • cumulative and combined effects • other important and relevant considerations 	